

Appln No. 10/040,932
Amdt date November 12, 2004
Reply to Office action of May 12, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 3, 9-17, 20 and 22-28 now remain pending in this application. Applicant has canceled claims 2, 4 and 19, and amended claims 1, 3, 20 and 23. The amendments find full support in the original specification, claims and drawings. No new matter is presented. In view of the above amendments and following remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that all of pending claims 1, 3, 9-17, 20 and 22-28 are in condition for allowance. Applicant therefore respectfully requests reconsideration and a timely indication of allowance.

In the Final Rejection dated May 12, 2004, the Examiner allowed claims 1-3, 9-17 and 22-28, but rejected claims 4, 19 and 20 as allegedly indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 2. The Examiner takes the position that dependent claim 4 is inconsistent with independent claim 1. Applicant has canceled claim 4, and added in its place new independent claim 29.

The Examiner also takes the position that dependent claim 19 is inconsistent with independent claim 1. Applicant has canceled claim 19, and added in its place new independent claim 29.

Applicant has also amended the term "cover" at line 17 of claim 1 to recite "covering" as requested by the Examiner. In addition, Applicant has canceled claim 2. Applicant has also amended claim 20 to depend from claim 1, rather than canceled claim 19, and has amended claim 3 to depend from claim 1, rather than canceled claim 2. Finally, Applicant has amended claim 23 to recite a method rather than a catheter.


The Examiner also objected to the drawings as failing to show two ring electrodes as claimed in claim 1. Applicant submitted on August 12, 2004 amended Figs. 1 and 4 to depict a second ring electrode, which were accepted by the Examiner pursuant to the Advisory Action dated September 10, 2004.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that all of pending claims 1, 3, 9-17, 20 and 22-28 are in condition for allowance. Applicant, therefore respectfully requests a timely indication of allowance. If there are any remaining issues that can

Appln No. 10/040,932
Amdt date November 12, 2004
Reply to Office action of May 12, 2004

be addressed by telephone, Applicant invites the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

By 
Anne Wang
Reg. No. 36,045
626/795-9900

LES/ldb
RLM PASS92435.2*-11/12/04 5:22 PM